

**GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT**

PS – Police Department – Revision Petitions of Sri A.Srinivasa Rao, Inspector of Police, Rajahmundry, against the punishment of 4-Censures and 1-PPI for one year without effect – Allowed – Orders – Issued.

HOME (SERVICE-I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 779

Dated: 04/05/2012

Read the following:-

1. From Sri A.Srinivasa Rao, Inspector of Police, Rajahmundry, Revision petitions dated 5.1.2012.
2. Govt. Memo No.2214/Ser.I/A2/2012-1, dated 28.1.2012.
3. From the Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, Letter Rc.No.431/Apepal-3/2012, dated 16.3.2012.
4. From the Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, Letter Rc.No.369/Apepal-3/2012, dated 15.3.2012.
5. From the Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, Letter Rc.No.432/Apepal-3/2012, dated 17.3.2012.
6. From the Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, Letter Rc.No.368/Apepal-3/2012, dated 17.3.2012.
7. From the Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, Letter Rc.No.344/Apepal-3/2012, dated 17.3.2012.

O-O-O

ORDER:

In the reference 1st read above, Sri A.Srinivasa Rao, Inspector of Police, Rajahmundry, has submitted (5) separate revision petitions to the Government, against the punishments of 4-Censures and 1-PPI for one year without effect.

2. The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, who was consulted in the matter has furnished (5) separate reports for each case along with connected PR files in respect of Sri A.Srinivasa Rao, Inspector of Police, Rajahmundry, requesting the Government to dispose off the said revision petitions, since the Government is the revision authority in all said (5) cases. Further the said revision petitions are within the prescribed time limit and said (5) punishments were awarded, for the delinquency indicated against them:-

SI No	Delinquency	Punishment awarded on the Individual
1	"The petition given by Selli Nookalatali w/o Narayana Murthy (late) of Rowtulapudi alleging that the SI Annavaram has been threatening her with dire consequences to implicate her family in false cases, was sent to charged officer with an endorsement "why the SI is threatening the petitioner. Enquire and report immediately". Instead of enquiring into the matter the charged officer has sent the petition to the SI Annavaram for enquiry. Thus the charged officer failed to enquire personally as the allegations were on the SI and the charged officer entrusted it to the SI"	The Superintendent of Police, East Godavari District, awarded a punishment of Censure , vide Procgs. No.287/ MPR/2009, dated 4.4.2010. His appeal petition was considered and rejected by the Inspector General of Police, North Coastal Zone, Hyderabad, in his Procgs. No.821/A1/NCZ/2011, dated 5.12.2011.
2	"It came to my notice that he failed to gather information about Cock-fights and betting by 11 persons on 31.12.2008 at 17.00 hrs. at Y.R.C. bund, Rowthulapadi village and he had failed to prevent the offence inspite of clear instructions from Superintendent of Police from time to time. It is evident by the raid conducted successfully by the Special Team under the charge of Inspector of Police, Peddapuram and recovery of two cocks and cash and other items as seen from the report. Thereby, he exhibited gross negligence in discharging in his duties."	The Superintendent of Police, East Godavari District, awarded a punishment of Censure , vide Procgs. No.493/MPR/2009, dated 4.4.2010. His appeal petition was considered and rejected by the Inspector General of Police, North Coastal Zone, Hyderabad, in his Procgs. No.822/A1/NCZ/2011, dated 6.12.2011.
3	"The charged officer was instructed to enquire and send a report on the petition of Lanka Rambabu S/o Surva Rao of Koduru Rowtulapudi (M). The charged officer simply entrusted the case to the SI on the petition of Lanka Rambabu S/o Surva Rao of	The Superintendent of Police, East Godavari District, awarded a punishment of Censure , vide Procgs. No.289/MPR/2009, dated 4.4.2010. His appeal petition was

	Koduru Rowtulapudi (M) in C.No.422/CCC/2008. It is not correct to entrust the work to SI instead of enquiring by himself. Since it was entrusted to CI, the CI should have enquired and reported personally. He failed to do so."	considered and rejected by the Inspector General of Police, North Coastal Zone, Hyderabad, in his Procs. No.824/A1/NCZ/2011, dated 1.12.2011.
4	"Inspector of Police of Prathipadu Circle, he was instructed to enquire and send a report on the petitions of (1) Pebbili Sri Ramulu, (2) Styanadham S/o Pentayya, and (3) Pebbili Satyanarayana S/o Appa Rao of Gontuvanipalem (V), Yeleswaram (M) against Sodaran Lingeswara Rao and 10 others, which also alleges inaction by local police. The charged officer simply entrusted the case to the SI. On the petitions vide C.No.1137/CCC/2008, dated 10.11.2008. It is not correct to entrust the work to SI instead of enquiring by himself. Since it was entrusted to CI, the CI should have enquired and reported personally. He failed to enquire into the contents of the petition and delegating it to SI while the content indicate inaction by the local police."	The Superintendent of Police, East Godavari District, awarded a punishment of Censure , vide Procs. No.373/MPR/2009, dated 4.4.2010. His appeal petition was considered and rejected by the Inspector General of Police, North Coastal Zone, Hyderabad, in his Procs. No.825/A1/NCZ/2011, dated 1.12.2011.
5	"The petition put by Thota Subbalaxi W/o Suri Babu, Shankavaram (V) in C.No.1517/ CCC/09, dated 2.3.2009 stating that she gave a report against N.K.Rao of Kathipudi (v) for attempting to rape against her. The same was entrusted for enquiry to local police and the SI enquired to the matter. During the course of enquiry, the accused N.K.Rao of Kathipudi (v) threatened the petitioner to withdraw the complaint against him otherwise he would do away with her life, that on 16.2.2009 the same was informed to Police but they have not taken any action and the said persons set fire to her house when she was inside. On 17.2.2009 she informed that to SI Annavaram. The SI informed local police will enquire into the matter and the accused will be arrested, but no action taken. The petition was sent to the CI with an endorsement "enquire it seems your SI is not doing anything on the complaints given by the petitioner. Take action personally and report". Instead of personally enquiring and reporting in the matter the charged officer has sent the petition to SI for enquiry. Thus he failed to take action personally and disobeyed the instructions of the superior officers."	The Superintendent of Police, East Godavari District, awarded a punishment of PPI for one year without on future increments and pension , vide Procs. No. 286/MPR/2009, dated 20.2.2010. His appeal petition was considered and rejected by the Inspector General of Police, North Coastal Zone, Hyderabad, in his Procs. No.746/A1/NCZ/2011, dated 5.12.2011.

3. After careful examination of the entire matter, with reference to the records made available, by taking into the revision petitions of Sri A.Srinivasa Rao, Inspector of Police, Rajahmundry, into consideration, Government hereby **set aside the above said (5) punishments** (ie. 4-Censures & 1-PPI for one year without effect) specified in para-2 of above.

4. The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, is requested to take necessary follow up action in the matter. The records received in the references 3rd to 7th read above are herewith returned and the receipt of the same should be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

AJOYENDRA PYAL
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (FAC)

To
The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad (w.e)
The Individual concerned
through Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad.
SF/SC

// Forwarded :: by order //

SECTION OFFICER